LEGAL NOTICE REGISTERED POST WITH ACK. DUE

- The Chairman, TANGEDCO, 144, Anna Salai, CHENNAI-600 002
- 7 The Chief Engineer, Non-Conventional Energy Sources, 144, Anna Salai, CHENNAI-600 002
- ω The Supe intending Engineer, Tiruppur Elec. Distribution Circle(N). Tamil Nadu Electricity Board, TIRUPPUR

Dear Sirs

Sub:- Order of the Hon'ble High Court, Madras in W.P.No.18394 of 2012 – reg. Ref:- 1) Your CC Bill No.229 Dated 01.07.2012 for Govaan Steel (P) Ltd. HTSCNo.229 2)Final orders passed in W.P.No.14264 dated 26.06.2012

In the your CC bill in ref.no.1 you have sought to unlawfully restricted my client from adjustment of their own wind energy generated in their wind mills since the Petitioner's industry is running under optimum demand concept and further reject to give adjustment of the same in their CC bills on the ground that optimum demand is applicable for consumers availing boards power only and further it is not feasible energy units for my client under optimum demand concept adjust wind

W.P.No.18394 of 2012 and the Court was pleased to grant and interim stay of the CC Bill No.229 dated 01.07.2012 issued by the you in so far as it relates to the levy of energy charges, in Serial No.7, without giving adjustment of the wind energy available at the credit of the for my client. The Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass a final order on 02.08.2012 directing you to permit the petitioner to run their industry continuously in optimum demand concept and give adjustment of the wind energy in baking/current generation in their respective CC bills. The Hon'ble Court was pleased to allow the writ petition directing you to comply with the order of the Hon'ble TNERC in T.P.No.1-9 dated 28.12.2011 by granting optimum demand for the Petitioner and also give adjustment of the wind energy available at the credit of the Petitioner. We have not yet received the order copy. However, the above final order was passed in presence of Mr. S.K.Rameeshwar, Counsel for TNEB and he was directed by the Court to inform you that the final orders was passed in favour of our client that the final orders was passed in favour of our client ref.no.1 was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court of Madras

I am herein attaching the final order issued by the Hon'ble High Court in similar issue dated 26.08.2012 wherein the Court has held that the Commission's order is applicable to all consumers operating under optimum demand concept without any discrimination. We have not yet received the order copy. It will be served on you as soon as the order is ready. Your are requested to permit the Petitioner to adjust the wind energy available at the credit of the Petitioner in current/banking under optimum



Chamber: 22, Law Chambers, High Court, Chennai - 600 104

24450688, 42120669 Madurai Off : F1, Park Enclave, No.1, Besant Road, Chockikulam, Madurai -Chennai Off : B1, "SAI SADAN" #27, North Mada Street, Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai 600 041. 3, 42120669 Telefax : 044 - 24450688 Cell : 99621 47678, 94443 62252 e-mail : rspandiyaraj@yahoo.com 625002, Telefax: 0452-4522519

<u>P</u>

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUDICATAURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.06.2012

CORAM

THE HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUDHARAR

Writ Petition No. 14264 of 2012 M.P. Nos. 1 and 2 of 2012

Sanmar Ferrotech Ltd., HTSC No.1865, K-4 Sipcot Phase II, Gummidipoondi, Thiruvallur District rep. by its Authorised Signatory N.S.Srinivasan.

Petitione

Versus

- Commission, rep. by its Secretary, 19-A, Rukmani Lakshmipathi Salai, (Marshall's Road), Egmore, Chennai-600 008.
- The Chairman,
 Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
 144, Anna Salai, Channai 500 002.
- The Superintending Engineer, Chennal Electricity Distribution Circle (N), Temil Nadu Electricity Board, Chennal.

Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of Indie for the issuence of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records of the 3% Respondent in his Notice No. SH/CEDC/N/ABB.GI/F.Power cut/D 866/2012, dated 01.06.2012, in so far as the withdrawal of Optimum demand concept 19 concerned, to 01.11.2008 consequently, quesh the same as restricted working panast industry me as illegel, erbitrary, direct the 3" Respondent ndustry continuously in ರಿಗೆ ಭಿರ್ವ days and power Clause Respondent ፲ (ጛ holidays demand concept 15 co end adjust optimum to permit the without dated 01.06.2012, Circular the evening peak ST Inc petitioner concerned, to concept Memo energy dated eri th to

Danking/current generation.

For Petitioner

Mr.AR.I.Sundaresan Senior Counsel for Mr.R.S.Pandiyaraj

For Respondents

Mr.G. Vasudevan

C R D E R

evening peak hour restrictions vide in Memo dated 01.11.2008 issued by the 2mm energy Concept the patitioner to 01.06.2012, Certiorarified Mandamus, concerned, to quash the same a nority of law and consequently, dir petitioner to run their industry in banking/current with Notice inso restricted andamus, calling for the rec No.SE/CEDC/N/AEE.GI/P.Power Petition faras generation working. the withdrawal days direct 空场 7 burkerd continuously Respondent illegal, t the 3rd Clause and records of the O Ph power Optimum to <u>)</u> (n) Raspondent and adjust arbitrary, issue H holidays 866/2012, O optimum demand demand Q n n Respondent C[±] the Circular without without concept dated

- Mr.R.S.Pandiyaraj, learned Mr.G.Vasudevan, learned cou Counsel Sundaresan, learn counsel appearing meel appearing for learned the Respondents for Senior the petitioner Counsal e D D C for
- writ petition only The to consumers short Jesue, whether the O which arises optimum #Ot Power consideration end not Demand to Concept to others. Þ. this
- 4. Para 2 of the affidavit reads as follows:

providing Castings having High Tension Electricity Supply H.T.SC.Wolffes [(CEDC) North] . I respectfu demand of 7 senctioned a rugura submit the that manufacture amployment 10,000 that. respectfully submit the continuous proces said H.T. 1.50 petitionur to about Qf. and to run Arddns 316 350 that industry industry has been respondents industry bersons. the petitioner respectfully Maximum Dave

Annexure-I Respondent. j. The patitioner industry is attached the Mamo deted 01.11.2008, listed as Induction Serial No issued Melting ... Ś. Industry Ç, the 2m

4

bh 0073450

7, 8 and 9 of the affidavit reads D U TOLLOWS:

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

dated petitioner depending restriction 01.11.2008. However, for (industries like the petitioner, has permitted the petition enumerated by modality of ndustry ipulated respondent. run the jurisdictional ABBIG 01.11.2008 and fixed "7) It 18 ermitted the petitioner to run their continuously for certain days in a month any the grid availability without any with restricted restriction the respectfully submitted that Superintending Engineer 225 the optimum demand and and control m From continuous time permitting measures apove Memo respondent month without time process omenic dated exe. the 88

the 3m r days between 6.00 dated exempted depending upon latest allotment security month permitted mpted from evening peak hour restrictic ween 6.00 p.m. to 10.00 p.m. to run the ustry continuously with optimum demand fixed 3 respondent vide Clause 1(n) of memo dat 11.2008 issued by the 2 respondent. Thou petitioner's senctioned load is 10,000 kVA, petitioner was parmitted to March, paroved remaining days 27.02.2012 from days. optimum industry continuously. of work of working days t O Such 2012 during 01. Accordingly, the F Ç demand 11.2008 the Further, letter issued by to May, clearly avail 2008 and declaring of the month, wiz. which period only load power/grid availability, days and continuos 2012 shows that the Ö with a power petitioner 9 Q petitioner the 3rd holidays for avail the Y.Z.Y allowed running the N UI 7300 holidays restrictions memo dated lighting respondent, petitioner respondent greb Bre 200 XVX holiday Though r Or tioner thei fixed also deve This also The and for

wherein Wheeling 26.03.2012, banked energy "9) The units Agreement the petitioner The petitioner respondents Petitioner respectfully HTEC 2012 BABIL the ឯឧទ No. 1865 Owning banked entered into Energy permitted respondent 1780 O H submite, Chennai Board, Wilde.

wheeling Agreement dated 26.03.2012." Consumption Bill Chennel BDC/North. representation eligible for Cheir adjustment ct O NT INC the μ. 5 The ne petitioner the of mills. respondent apove HISC coming The petitioner ting month Curi 3946 to Mo. 1865 170 adjust a detailed Current Kereum ed.7 O.

hereefter, † 1. 1.00 third respondent passed the impugned order.

:: © Dectricity proceeded to, cancel ptimum Demand it tempondents applicable ۲. ۲ respective 27.10.2010, N O 名いけい for the petter 工 authority petitioner Regulatory Referring ç restricted further service bills the clarity μ. Φ † **h**8 the not o H stated to the impugned proceedings opts for wind energy H stated Commission connection onnection and refixed the feasible WOLKING service connections mentioned in that order H order A/c that Letter that TINN 1 No. 1865. to adjust days impugned is extracted hereunder: since the che Ç without will Ç the order the the Optimum Demand have been issued Superintending /2011 dated The petitioner peak tha e D D wind energy authority energy cancelled. hour the restriction H. Tamil and 28.12.2011 uni ts Engineer gailing stating d fixed demand

"Ref: ٠, POWER Tr.No.SE/CEDC/N/ABB.GL/ wer cut/D.1485/12, dt: /F. 27.10.2010.

- 2. fr.No.SE/CEDC/N/AEE.GI/F. Power cut/D.313/12, dt: 26.02.2012
- cut U /D.351/12_r Ir. No. SE. CEDC/N/ABE. GI/F. Power ot: 01.03.2012
- 4. Your Letter deted: 25.05.2012.

opt for 3rd optimum demand. optimum demand reference concept Arua)-02 cancelled. that (1) ಚಾರ dated party/cap/wind and applicable fixed along בי It has also the the . You hav 27.10.2010 case if you opt REC Seme for have also furnished With S D D energy, as conditions, been stating that consumers restricted working elready intimated for 50 wind energy, optimum availing intimated Non 979 מנ necessary ovailing W1 11 the demend Board days emes vide not the

No.1865, 5/2012. feasible ÇÎ D G TO Y adjust De K 20 14 (0 Anna the burrraas W1.nd request energy ununtado oadn units demand the ŕ your HT بر زر H MC not O.F.

demand and 16,50,000 Units 6/2012 restrictions with 14 working No. 1865 is hereby cancelled No. 1865 and your optimum demend normal working quota energy. LION days the 7300 \$03) አ የ fixed quota) ked to your HT ? billing month |uota| is 5400 P without nour Z KVA

COOK restrictions hours. Cax are and requested avail only to adhere Q H 0 the the quota Heed during

month of 6/2012 Ş cancellation wind energy O.F. adjustments optimum demand from n the you are

TNERC'S Order applicable only," Further, in μ. († Z. 9 nos. Ν h. No.1 also 描 ct O intimated Services v dated: mentioned in that t the 28.12. Hon'ble that

- ctricity CB/Comm1/EB/DSM/F.Powercut/D.001/2008, industrial, for a Clause restriction specified period. Commercial of Tami.l and control measures by services and that Memo r Naciu Clause (n) Blactricity 2008, dated refers others at page († () falling of the co 01.11.2008, ধ্য Board reads Off continuous under power io O follows: -Tariff imposed newood TO T
- **↑** □ @ t iii auch operate optimum/mininimum Engineer/distribution operate industries nature reword with restricted | the with the O Ha and industry ĦĦ #### overall capability of ssecond demand se tie specified period deper rocess of the industrall those present Case put 덌 this level Ö Hi services will be continuous Ç, depending Can cut, the which required grid." subject the only process Chief uodn **such** for d O ct O to
- ž 31.8.2009, 回れていのの。 Ring cioners ۲. 0 31,08,2009, (p either are O Memo aut Instruction demand the s elf generated petitioners NO. wherein CE/ quota No.5 Madu Comml. BOXO reads n or or purchased from third par Electricity Board's Techni L/BE/DSM/ABE/PMM/F.Powercut/ the instructions the power continuous co Oi follows: from a were above wind rechnical Bran...
 wercut/ D.394/09,
 'emusd regarding Seic issued energy generators र्भस्वत् benefit.
- Optimum those demand will consumers Optimum demand concept availing not KIBA Board's once) |power opted ed is

011 Kören akapito ndustries porcached ansferred Commission ۲. 5 Blectricity urmitado which M/s. Shenthi ecord benefit t he and .. 2008 thia under Ç O I BUBBL Q Some without Þ. self or Demand the Tamil hearing which Court Board, and Ç,). († ()) 00 Optimum Castings generated evening peak E COL CO and to whather order Concept took up industries ing peak hour rest Madu the running Demand Works oply from third party dated 28.12.2011 in runs case filed by the Blactricity with such and others the 00 00 matter Category SUP follows: of restricted continuous restrictions had those ħ Or Regulatory VB. the benefit With. those persons hearing continuous The Chairman, third ΠĄ process Source restricted NOS. 9 TOWEL party on 14.09,2011 Commission aligible S C Viz. processing industries have holidays ţ the source. Tami 1 a e Mod O Buta reed Of. d O Ç

made the following The Hearing Commission observations: on 14-9-2011:after hearing poth Si des

and \Z \ TABULA. unur 1 do measures contended communicated optimum challenge consideration. .No.42 the TNEB therefore Commission The demend and O H demand O fr the The learned Counsel that the also Commission heard r T अप्र d for 2008 discontinuation TNEB concept Circular ۲. 0 above the officials t He Ç continuous contain well approved by the Commission These entertain restriction for T.As. Memo within ST IIC petitions m t he õ for the from BACC dated 9.10.2011 of BEBBOOIG provision setudate the learned mi 1 1 the the taken Jurisdiction facility Petitioners industries TMEB. basically on this 9 control the O. for of

thereafter" The TNEB The case is directed to file Will Ö posted counter for arguments within

Bunch the present 6 5 I Memo TERME. dated 1.11.2008 200 consider μ. بر 80 elevent

"6.6.1 Memo dated 1.11.2008

TOLICESS. ollows:industries provision 'n regarding the **a**pove f he memo continuous Ţ, ů U

process operate ď, industries CHA おしたプ the and those present Of: I, continuous

cor such restricted dependent upon the national of the overell industry but concerned supply being will O) cepability Хеш CHD Chier D B being made the nature ty of the required BUCH Engineer/Distribution to 00 specified Optimum/Minimum grid." available of the process operat with period Q († ylno the the

Can and With. essential e un Q month cegard perjeas number activities was o has Ç of days for and also during 50 CS Hor envisaged load included which balance for sec the Optimum Demand 10 De 'n security provided. period this Memo and Of

consumption." Arddns consumers particular pne other Memo Commission 978 g; a Class ess of not and distinguish consumers dependent Serves ST DC rascd S. D.O Detween 9 that have Hi O H t.ha their those HENT this

empondents. hereefter, 93 93 truck 8.10.2009 BANCH down clarification 6. O. A Book which the Para the clarified 6.6.3 Memos ۲. ت order Ç, effect 5.5.3 end dated Circular quashing that 0; o; negatived dealt 19.12.2008, র Memo. SDBBH the with order three Ð the dated Mamo follows: ed 31.8.2009. Thereafter in SMP No.1/2009 dated 4.8.2009, 21.8.2009 were memos Kask dated or or Same 9.10.2009 not to plea contested which the

" 6.6.3 Memo dated 9.10.2009:

Circular 6 of Memo Memo This Memo dated Memo deted 31.8.2009 Subs λq Ker 2009 are Ç extracted Paragraphs clarification below: ហ and ct O

availing Demand w is final. applicable Will Board's not Kien for remod Optimum and those only. Demand 9040 consumers opted optimum Concept

ABBO Government continuous communicated) nour Para industries running with restrictions Ø1 ().6. and noti Those Optimum list fied opted continuous ই not Demandr already i O M the O A

by the Government running with Optimum restriction shall be continuous process Industries industries insisted." and Demand, þ. other opted peak notified anoq than for

directed the nour was granted to th 2010. Order Division Bench of the reference various consumers were dated 9.10.2 three Memos. referred contested 0 的改品 TANGEDCO Commission S.M.P.No. 1 Optimum third party Concept rurther optimum Demand of power restrictions or power Ene Judgment argued 2009 red above. It was the duty of TANGEDO dated those who are like to 9.10.2009 restrictions. the Memo down Order 6.6.4 Demand Concept clarified did of Of and D H the 如 accordingly also would 13.4.2010 of the አ TOWER T e C prefer This μ. 5 per the Mer 21.8.2009 for the Respondent cons 2009 observe dated this goon quashing peal was filed by the High Court of the Commission This S.M. ۲. BBA dated purchase single æ 9.10.2009 f eligible after Commission in sted 28.10.2009. appellant TNEB with exempted from During the power here like Menio [rackly Concept. DOT. ១ឧឧପ No. 1 Memos the that ere butw holidays and Sea the done. Ç orders consumer dated on certain aspects of 2009 but under further sti the Appeal Petitioners. dated not also Š the quashing thase Memos were anergy, where The Madras thereon." <u></u> ነ Deemed 31.8.2009 TNEB. evening peak three I eligible TANGEDCO invited Ö 19.12.2008, No. 645 arguments, This issue stipulates Commission order petween, Liberty regard make O Im upheld ದೆದೆನ Demand Memos Deak Memo

introduced and the depending on the power supply Circular Memo dated 31.8.2009 | concerned. applicable 1.11.2008 did not mention abou was introduced in so far as Circular Thereafter, the Mamo dated The ö the provisions Commission was of conmission consumers that the Commission proceeded 1.11.2008 whereby t held the Ç, Section Trode i be continuous availing the eligibility same 0 Optimum Demand Board. whereby the 9 (2) process industries Ö çı Çı Board's discriminatory and of the Blactricity the circular ó is only Optimum Concept interpret 0 DITO power בי Opti mum solely Demand being Memo SER

Commission at para power or purchase TEMOC discriminate between Commission notified Section Statute. Aradans ב 4 BILLE the year of the that of the It also 2 a Rod , 0 Ø. Ø. 5 <u>ب</u> ۲۲ Board 2005 Act where the n H the from pasoddo relied consumers conclusion relating OK extracted for clarity others open nocin S TO Access ťo odw one that the Commission's Open Access clarity: bave have Concept ۲ Ľ. The finding their dependent μ. ໝ not Concept. sub-section recognized Regulations correct CHOL O.H S the the The BAT Ş

that the H Or days concept industries. unitado activities Concapt TNEB auch absolutely J BAG been 19.12.2008, 31.8.2009 ó provisions these the 0 X lighting the ই optimum Demand Concept the Circular .Arddns month certain 0701 reviewed t would be consumers 1/2009, 8,000 instructions balance the Demand a continuous sing load for s as well as l of the Act. n o clarified baing Ç 4.8.2009 Commission number What Instructions DOWER mention E rom 5ut provide days Concept Memo who evailable Memo 品図が power this o o th the are solely dependent . Arddns load dated run ಹಿಗಿದೆ that sought period, the dated about <u>1</u>11 days the O abover なのな for holidays. permitted ç contained in Memo dated order **security** 21.8.2009 eligible contrary 9.10.2009 adjusted continuou, the balanca days being restricted the 1.11.2008 introduced not the to after those continuous **⊢** man ជួ deted optimum eligibility done. could and for consumers adjusting done quota Ser. continuously Mamos should r† 28.10.2009 There A BOO essential O O aodn ב ב process various Further Ç etruck Demand dated SOOD on Ly hour 200 CULA COR KVX

<u>ب</u> ह रेप क 11 12 right generating 2003 which Open Access avail provided aveilability terms through onsidered t ne captive y. W O H CDSI VOB T open Access in equelly t be Open Access Ď plant In provides generating Access Regulations 2005. O Hi Orders of adequate restriction the auorstabald applicable and maintains 30 CA with The that O In for the plant to Commission's AND TA of this effect the transmission Ö Areas O H of for notified. captive Commission and sasodind Section person, pur chase from purchase of power sub-section (2) o operates 17.2.2010) destination consumption user facility. 9(2) who the regarding Ç enables all carrying e has Commission Of: constructed of his when the O C Once Coen from Section 42 electricity Blectricity 9 IJ. H uedo 100 use shall Access, third amendment consumers permissible. and) act rightfully m Access have captive parties Dessed Actr from

Commission 13. At a mile tar BATTOBURBALL hergy continuous espondents given finding, the respondent discriminstory basis, I other stand 公司公 0 cuta consumers will ve of purchase (a) negatived. of the Respondent t soard should not discriminate between the consume depending on the power supplied by the Respondent's consumers, who have their own captive power including filed filed the writ p be entitled to he mandate δ should not power like The and of t more Commission therefore Came Board cannot impose the Act as that patition wind the benefit of Optimum Demand Concept with limited only to consumers particularly, the. energy regard which Optimum Ç conclusion well the Regulations from electricity Ö 化公司 In view of optimum ۳. ت held third party Demand Conc Buch transferred that tespact including that congumers a restriction through 1depuo5 Demand O.F the all source. board's Captive ព្ cannot Board wind nine

t.) 10 i he electricity. The only to the 9 Hr Commission Section Commission Cannot Section 85(1)(f) Act pessed placed misreading of provisions applications ならのりつか Consumers acility is her aunder:permitted. a misconcaption of benefit of Order interpreted tenor of T A general H facility U Aun 86(1)(f) of Blectricity applicable of the Commission is that it shows consumers without discrimination Ç of tr restricted 8900 0 denied to The Respondent by stating that the order is applicable 9 HT Consumers who had approached the Commission stams saption of law as it will amount to negating the order statutory authority on discriminatory basis which cannot in the scope of power of the Commission is defined in 1) (f) of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Act, 2003 which runs The licable to all discrimination appears to the order. the ty without restrictions. Therefore HT Consumers as stated in the order open principle saed the Respondent's not order the Blactricity orders as per the Blectricity Act, optimum the : 0 adjudicate of the Commission. pres Ç Ø governing patitioner. H D C the Demand Concept similarly Bucha plea the primary facility issue canvassed ် Act, consumers individual Act that generation and 2003_f placed ই Thersfore, 2003. Ardde prnous न्त्रा उ The Commission in reason referring to end and the which covered ă order of the commission group. disputes H S that ir harmonious Fire Access , the inter Consumers by which the sion in its order, eligibility of HE purpose t, 2003 i Ϋ́q distribution F E TH 0 interpretation be aligible to 811 the Facility Í Further, evident from the reading consumers the terms fior transf various 0 there 11 m Yel He C) Ç

(1) The State Confolions, Functions of State Commission: State Commission shall discharge functions, namely:

whol. (a) wholesale, bulk within the State: (a) determine the tariff for generation, transmission and wheeling of electricity, or recail, as the Case Yem Ö

permitted 42, the st wheeling the Provided State paid charges and surcharge the to a category of consumers under section ate Commission shall determine only the thet where open thereon, のはいついち SBU ب. ۲۱ Deen any

licensees dispute for and generating companies and to refer arbitration; "

(emphasis supplied).

- while exercifunctions. exercising its power Commission shall and discharging ensure transparency 11.8
- Commission Electricity and Tariff P 5 discharge freqs Policy published under Policy, Of ۵ ک i ts National guided functions, γ̈́q Blectricity Plan the the State
- "Ref; cut/D.1485/12, dt: 27.10.2010 Lr.No. SE/CEDC/N/ASE.GL/F. POWER

explanatory. Superintending

which

2008

d: O

follows:

Engineer

and

the

contents

of f

Dessed

order

itself

H

Case,

the impugned

order

- cut/D.313/12, Tr.No.SE/CEDC/N/ASE.GL/F 13/12, dt: 26.02.2012. POWER
- cut/D.351/12, dt: 01.03.2012 Lr. No. SE. CEDC/N/AEE.GI/F. POWER
- 4 Your Letter dated: 26,05,2012

have also fur 27.10.2010 sta party/CPP/Wind reference reference Aruo) 10 the Jack (1). furnished applicable for consumers availing Board and the same was already intimated vide (1). It has also been intimated in the stating working urumrado the energy, thet in REC thet days will A LEGISSOLD n case if nov se conditions, you will ere C D fixed undertaking 30 cancelled. availing not mumitago 100 along 1 de 0 aptimum for demand dated with EL DO NOX

demand.

一般の一個本面の一個本面の

your HT A/c No.186 billing of 5/2012. feasible You o to adjust the 13 17 18 evailing optimum demand it the wind energy per your request upto the

duota) is 5400 KVA energy. 出 restrictions with , 0 T. The optimum demand of 7300 A/c No.1865 1865 is hereby cancelled from the 6/2012 and your normal quota (60% KVA demand and 16,50,000 Units 14 working days KVA without TIXEC

during nour restrictions peak hours. Hou are requested and TTBAB Çţ. only adhere 108 0 tt the the quota REGG

are eli eligible for month of cancellation of optimum demand 6/2012. DC TA energy adjustments from You

28.12.2011 is applicable for the services mentioned in that order only. 28.12.2011 Further, <u>ب</u> رح order (baildding signidus) μ. ຫ uT also Ž intimated No. 1 rt 0 nos. datad:

extracted hereunder for better clarity:impugned order relies upon the proceedings dated 27, 10, 2010 which

。

"Ir. No. RE/CEDC/N/ARE/GI/F. Power cut/D/985/2010, 27.10.2010.

sub: exempt Ferrotech AD BTE during evening peak Hours -Limited, CEDC/North -吾 A/C Temor No. 1865 220 Reg. M/s. Request Sanmar

cut/p.742/2010, dt. 27:05:20210.

Lr.No.SE/CEDC/N/AEE/GL/F.Power

Ref:

Z. Your representation dt. 11.10.2010.

Industry, billing hour NCX our restriction as be y, subject to the foll, month of November, 2010: are hereby permitted to run following a continuous process process

- to the slots as applicable and days in a month with an optimum demand billed slot-wise segragated according 7300 KVA.
- notice will be served to your HT industry. period, hence continuous nolldays: penalty You are eligible for 15% quota caye of the co ehalty celender month run your industry will be imposed a Apart from that, 48 the power holiday industry Atuo during hours and
- 7300 KVA fixed above e of optimin be is final
- 7.The power BACKE .ATuo fixed optimum demend quota بر 19 for Ther
- .Optimum along quote will nour during Case if consumers relexation as above will With. you demand availing continuous tion as above will be cancelled and your be fixed as per INERC's guidelines." do restricted ing Board's quota Jower only. Hence, in 3 party sales/Cpp/Wind energy s working days, the quota fired boye (emphasis supplied)

superintending buy sent the present 27.10.20 Nos. I to 9 of 2011 by order relexation will be cancelled Board tried to impose on HT during Cane 1.11.2008. referred theresiter SE/CEDC/N/ARE/GL/F. Power authorities, already enesis of this letter, granting industry the category of continuous process industry 2008. On which there appears to be no dispute. It is 2008. On which there appears to be no dispute. It is 2008. On which there appears to be no dispute. It is 2008. On which there is a 2009 issued by the Electricity Board technical branch wherein in paragraph 5 Electricity Board technical branch new concept of Optimum Electricity axtracted above, the new concept of Optimum axtracted above, the new concept of Optimum on which there is availing Board's power on whi ,1 O industry continuous BACOR Highneers declined cancelled. which is referred 10.2010. working days for third Case Viz. بر 5 consumers the benefit of Optimum Demand third party sales/CPP power/Wing days and also stated that cut/D/985/2010, dated 28.12. snorred This uder 6ered concept υ τ letters OLL ved by t. 12.2011. fall under the Which following or impugned letter, like dated m Demand quota, t De one Optimum Damano the Respondent's category the It peak 27.10.2010 which Arno 611 earlier Energy Demand letter dated which only the W.G. 53 7 ğ

letter order above no consequence 2008 does not have the s have has 20 been Blso TBScide after CEVIEWED not 1 roddns the produced order office o r modified 2 Of the Commission. valid ilid memo or circular. Th eteria Hence, that aou s order that paubndur The BUL

- Board finding Open بر. ق holds Concept therefore **imposed** Yery. Access that entitled in Memo should pending Tound third 917 consumers De Such Unim Tado S c in that, it dated 31.8.2009 10 ដ before it party. the MURA be erroneous and wrong. wen only Consumers Demand of the matter, 0 , 0 have benefit Board's to indust Since Concept the Commission, covered ATTESTS Thelr arbitrary erguments the Š should holds captive finding t he The had and discriminatory opted () that the that transfer Commission н made available Dower Commission Q. eferred HOE the Optimum the power appli 0 Commission the purchase the writ clearly O Demand ation end nor
- purchased or Ataetmis consequence holds that applicability order Respondent individual 11,2008. dated placed ne Board De persons utilising opti mum order Since authority Commission is based on to the saildde spoites to all similarly placed third applicable 占 2011 order the end but nader consumers Demend the Party er section 86(1)(f) Commission's order in the impugned the Commission does p. of. interprets wind nd Aluo anergy Blectricity totally Concept the similarly placed. without Commission rannot. besed the misconception discrimination. Act proceedings not ρ ri O provided from P 9 rculer, deal the Act, 7 persons captive consumers masconcept ID ground discrim applicable /Memo under VIOU stating 4 1 1 1 covered <u>د) او</u> generation issued o Memo The OUR the Claims that deny CTBBLTA λg g detec have the the the the Ç
- D) estde In MOTA 200 are closed. the Of. the above a petition stated is allowed. the panguqui Consequently, order

Asst. Registrer

True Copy//

Sub. Asst. Registrar

gr/ts

e Ke

bh 007346

